

Regulatory and quality control frameworks for fermented foods: Bridging policy gaps in Nigeria

Chidinma A. OKAFOR *

Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Environmental Studies, Godfrey Okoye University, Enugu, Nigeria.

GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2026, 35(01), 174-181

Publication history: Received on 25 February 2026; revised on 14 April 2026; accepted on 17 April 2026

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/gscbps.2026.35.1.0131>

Abstract

The fermented food industry in Nigeria, deeply rooted in traditional practices and contributing significantly to food security, faces substantial regulatory challenges that hinder standardization of quality and market expansion. This review examines the current regulatory landscape, identifies critical policy gaps, and proposes a comprehensive framework for integrating traditional fermented foods into modern quality control systems. Through analysis of existing literature and regulatory frameworks, key deficiencies were identified, including inadequate specific regulations, limited recognition of traditional knowledge, insufficient laboratory infrastructure, and weak enforcement mechanisms. The study proposes a multi-tiered regulatory approach that balances food safety requirements with cultural preservation and economic development. Implementing these recommendations could enhance consumer confidence, improve market access, and position Nigeria as a leader in fermented-food regulation across Africa.

Keywords: Fermented foods; Food safety regulation; Quality control; Nigeria; Policy framework; Traditional foods



* Corresponding author: Chidinma A. OKAFOR

1. Introduction

Fermented foods represent one of humanity's oldest food preservation technologies, with Nigeria hosting a rich diversity of traditional fermented products that play crucial roles in nutrition, culture, and economic livelihoods [1, 2]. These foods, ranging from fermented cereals like *ogi* and *tuwo* to protein-rich products such as *ogiri*, *ugba*, locust bean cake (*dawadawa*) and fermented fish (*momoni*), contribute significantly to the dietary intake of millions of Nigerians while supporting numerous small-scale enterprises [3, 4].

Despite their importance, Nigeria's fermented food sector operates largely within an informal economy characterized by inconsistent quality standards, limited regulatory oversight, and significant policy gaps that hinder both domestic market development and international trade potential [5, 6]. The absence of comprehensive regulatory frameworks specifically tailored to fermented foods creates challenges for producers seeking to scale operations while maintaining traditional production methods and cultural authenticity [7, 8].

The regulatory landscape in Nigeria involves multiple agencies, including the National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control (NAFDAC), the Standards Organization of Nigeria (SON), Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security (FMAFS), and various state-level bodies, yet coordination remains fragmented and often contradictory [9]. This fragmentation, combined with limited understanding of fermentation processes among regulators, has resulted in policies that may inadvertently disadvantage traditional producers while failing to ensure adequate food safety standards [10, 6].

This review examines the current regulatory environment for fermented foods in Nigeria, identifies critical policy gaps, and proposes evidence-based recommendations to develop comprehensive quality control frameworks that bridge traditional knowledge with modern safety requirements. The analysis draws from recent literature, regulatory documents, and international best practices to inform policy development that could enhance food safety while preserving cultural heritage and supporting economic development.

2. Traditional Fermented Foods in Nigeria: Cultural and Economic Significance

Nigeria's fermented food landscape encompasses over 40 distinct products, reflecting the country's diverse ethnic groups and regional preferences [1, 3]. These foods can be broadly categorized into cereal-based products (*ogi*, *akamu*, *burukutu*), legume-based foods (*dawadawa*, *ogiri*), dairy products (*nunu*, *wara*), and protein-rich fermented foods (*momoni*) [11, 12].

Traditional fermentation processes in Nigeria typically rely on spontaneous fermentation using indigenous microorganisms, with knowledge passed down through generations [13, 1]. These processes not only preserve foods but also enhance their nutritional value by increasing nutrient bioavailability, producing vitamins, and developing beneficial compounds with antimicrobial properties [5, 3].

The economic significance of fermented foods extends beyond household consumption, with the sector providing livelihoods for millions of people, predominantly women in rural and peri-urban areas [9, 14]. Market surveys indicate that the traditional fermented food sector contributes significantly to Nigeria's informal food economy, representing a substantial portion of the food and beverage industry [3].

However, this economic potential remains largely untapped due to quality inconsistencies, limited shelf life, and packaging challenges that restrict market expansion beyond local communities [6, 10]. Studies have shown that standardization of production processes can significantly enhance product quality, safety, and shelf life, thereby improving market value and scalability [12, 5].

3. Current Regulatory Landscape and Institutional Framework

The food regulatory system in Nigeria operates through a complex network of institutions with overlapping mandates and varying levels of authority. NAFDAC serves as the primary federal agency responsible for food safety and quality control, while SON focuses on standards development and conformity assessment.

Table 1 Key Regulatory Institutions and their Mandates in Nigeria's Food Sector

Institution	Primary Mandate	Relevance to Fermented Foods	Regulatory Tools
NAFDAC	Food safety, drug regulation, consumer protection	Pre-market approval, facility inspection, product registration	Food safety standards, GMP guidelines, HACCP requirements
SON	Standards development, quality assurance, conformity assessment	Product standards, testing protocols, certification	Nigerian Industrial Standards (NIS), laboratory accreditation
FMARD	Agricultural policy, food security, rural development	Production guidelines, extension services, market development	Agricultural policies, production manuals, training programs
State Agencies	Local implementation, market surveillance, licensing	Local market oversight, small-scale producer support	Trading licenses, health certificates, market regulations
Local Government Area (LGAs)	Community-level regulation, traditional authority	Customary practices, local quality control	Customary laws, community standards, traditional governance

The regulatory framework for fermented foods remains fragmented, with different agencies applying varying standards and requirements [6]. NAFDAC's regulations, primarily designed for industrial food processing, often prove unsuitable for traditional fermentation practices that rely on indigenous microorganisms and artisanal production methods [3].

Current food safety regulations in Nigeria are largely based on international standards such as Codex Alimentarius, which may not adequately address the unique characteristics of traditional fermented foods [6]. This creates compliance challenges for small-scale producers who lack the resources to meet industrial-grade requirements, potentially compromising the authenticity of traditional products [10, 9].

4. Quality Control Challenges in Fermented Food Production

The quality control challenges facing Nigeria's fermented food sector are multifaceted and interconnected (Table 2). Microbiological safety represents the primary concern, with studies reporting pathogenic contamination in 15–25% of traditionally fermented products sampled from local markets [10].

Table 2 Major Quality Control Challenges in Nigerian Fermented Foods

Challenge Category	Specific Issues	Impact on Industry
Microbiological Safety	Pathogen contamination, spoilage organisms, mycotoxins	Market rejection, health risks, regulatory non-compliance
Process Standardization	Variable fermentation conditions, inconsistent starter cultures, equipment variability	Quality inconsistency, batch failures, consumer dissatisfaction
Infrastructure	Inadequate facilities, poor sanitation, limited cold storage	Shortened shelf life, increased waste, limited distribution
Testing and Certification	Limited laboratory access, expensive testing, complex procedures	Inability to verify quality, market access barriers
Documentation	Poor record keeping, lack of traceability, informal transactions	Regulatory non-compliance, recall difficulties

Standardization challenges stem from the reliance on spontaneous fermentation processes that can vary significantly based on environmental conditions, raw material quality, and traditional practices [13, 1]. Research has shown that

fermentation time can vary by 50–100% between different producers making the same product, leading to inconsistent pH levels, moisture content, and sensory characteristics [4].

Infrastructure limitations pose significant barriers to the implementation of quality control. Many traditional producers operate from homes or small workshops lacking basic amenities such as potable water, adequate drainage, and pest control measures [3]. A recent survey found that only a small proportion of producers have access to refrigerated storage, severely limiting product shelf life and distribution potential [15].

Testing and certification represent major bottlenecks, with limited laboratory capacity and high testing costs making routine quality verification unaffordable for most small-scale producers [6]. The few accredited laboratories in Nigeria are concentrated in major cities, creating geographical barriers for rural producers who constitute the majority of the sector [16].

5. Policy Gaps and Regulatory Deficiencies

The analysis reveals several critical policy gaps that hinder effective regulation of Nigeria's fermented food sector. The most significant gap lies in the absence of specific regulations tailored to traditional fermented foods, forcing producers to comply with industrial food processing standards that may be inappropriate or unattainable [3].

Recognition of traditional knowledge and practices remains limited within current regulatory frameworks. Indigenous fermentation techniques, developed over centuries and proven safe through extensive use, lack formal validation or integration into official quality assurance systems [14]. This disconnect between traditional practices and modern regulations creates barriers for artisanal producers while potentially undermining food safety innovations developed within local communities [17].

Laboratory infrastructure and testing capabilities represent another major deficiency. Nigeria currently has limited accredited food testing laboratories relative to its population, and most lack specialized capabilities for the analysis of fermented foods [16]. This shortage creates bottlenecks in product approval processes and limits routine quality monitoring across the sector.

Enforcement mechanisms remain weak due to limited human resources, inadequate funding, and jurisdictional conflicts between different regulatory agencies [6]. Field surveys indicate that inspection coverage remains very low among traditional fermented food producers, highlighting the enforcement gap [3]. The lack of harmonized standards across different regulatory bodies creates confusion and compliance challenges. Products may meet one regulatory requirement but fail another, leading to increased compliance costs and uncertainty [16].

6. International Best Practices and Lessons Learned

Several countries have successfully integrated traditional fermented foods into modern regulatory frameworks while preserving cultural authenticity and ensuring food safety. Japan's approach to regulating traditional fermented foods like miso and shoyu provides valuable insights for Nigeria [18].

Japan's regulatory system recognizes traditional production methods through a tiered approach that applies different standards based on production scale and distribution scope [18]. Small-scale producers serving local markets operate under simplified regulations, while those seeking national or international distribution must meet enhanced standards. This approach has enabled the preservation of traditional techniques while ensuring food safety for broader markets.

South Korea's fermented food regulations demonstrate effective integration of traditional knowledge with scientific validation. The Korean system incorporates both traditional quality indicators and modern safety parameters for products such as kimchi and doenjang [18]. This dual approach has supported the global expansion of fermented foods while maintaining cultural authenticity.

The European Union's recognition of traditional specialty guaranteed (TSG) products offers another model for protecting traditional fermented foods while ensuring quality standards [19]. This system allows traditional products to maintain specific production methods while meeting safety requirements, creating market advantages for authentic products.

7. Proposed Regulatory Framework for Nigeria

Table 3 Proposed Multi-Tiered Regulatory Framework for Nigerian Fermented Foods

Tier	Production Scale	Distribution Scope	Key Requirements	Regulatory Approach
Tier 1	Household/Community	Local markets (<50km radius)	Basic hygiene, traditional quality indicators, community oversight	Simplified registration, peer review, traditional governance
Tier 2	Small-scale commercial	Regional markets (state/neighboring states)	HACCP principles, standardized processes, periodic testing	Risk-based inspection, technical assistance, group certification
Tier 3	Medium-scale industrial	National markets	Full HACCP, regular testing, traceability systems	Comprehensive inspection, laboratory verification, continuous monitoring
Tier 4	Large-scale export	International markets	International standards compliance, third-party certification	Full regulatory compliance, export certification, international recognition

Based on the analysis of current challenges and international best practices, this review proposes a comprehensive regulatory framework tailored to Nigeria's fermented food sector (Table 3). The framework adopts a risk-based, multi-tiered approach that balances food safety requirements with cultural preservation and economic development objectives [8, 7].

The Tier 1 category recognizes traditional producers serving local communities and applies simplified requirements that respect traditional practices while ensuring basic safety. These producers would register with local government authorities and operate under community oversight systems that leverage traditional quality control mechanisms [14].

Tier 2 encompasses small-scale commercial producers seeking regional market access. These producers would implement simplified HACCP principles adapted to traditional fermentation processes, with support from extension services and technical assistance programs. Group certification schemes could reduce individual compliance costs while building sector capacity [6, 10].

Tier 3 applies to medium-scale operations targeting national markets and requires comprehensive quality management systems comparable to industrial food processing standards. These producers would benefit from enhanced regulatory support services, including subsidized testing and technical assistance [20].

Tier 4 addresses large-scale operations seeking export markets and mandates full compliance with international standards. These producers would receive priority support to achieve international certifications and access global markets [21].

8. Implementation Strategies and Recommendations

Successful implementation of the proposed framework requires coordinated action across multiple dimensions. The first priority is to harmonize regulatory oversight across agencies to eliminate contradictions and redundancies. This could be achieved by establishing an inter-agency coordination committee with representation from NAFDAC, SON, FMARD, and state agencies [22].

Capacity building programs targeting both producers and regulators are essential for framework success. Producer training should focus on good manufacturing practices adapted to traditional fermentation, while regulator training should emphasize fermented food science and risk-based inspection techniques. Technical assistance programs could provide on-site support for implementing quality management systems [22].

Investment in testing infrastructure represents a critical implementation requirement. The framework proposes establishing regional fermented food testing centers equipped with specialized analytical capabilities and staffed by trained technicians. Mobile testing units could extend services to remote rural areas [23].

Consumer education campaigns should accompany regulatory implementation to build market demand for certified products and create incentives for producer compliance. Public awareness programs could highlight the benefits of standardized fermented foods while preserving appreciation for traditional products [1].

Technology transfer initiatives could accelerate adoption of appropriate quality control technologies. This includes development of low-cost testing kits, simplified record-keeping systems, and mobile applications for quality monitoring and regulatory compliance [24].

9. Economic and Social Implications

Implementing comprehensive regulatory frameworks for fermented foods could generate significant economic benefits while advancing social development objectives. Economic modeling suggests that standardization and quality assurance could increase sector value by 150–200% within five years through expanded market access and premium pricing for certified products [7].

Market access improvements could be substantial, with certified products gaining entry to modern retail channels, institutional markets, and export opportunities currently inaccessible to traditional producers. Studies indicate that regulatory compliance could increase average producer incomes by 40–60% through access to higher-value markets [9].

The social implications include strengthened food security through improved product safety and extended shelf life, reduced post-harvest losses, and enhanced nutrition security through consistent product quality. Rural development impacts could be significant, as improved market access for fermented foods provides income opportunities for women and marginalized communities [14, 25].

However, implementation costs and compliance burdens could negatively impact the most vulnerable producers. Careful design of support programs and phased implementation will be necessary to ensure that regulatory improvements do not exclude traditional producers from formal markets [6, 26].

10. Conclusions

This review has identified significant policy gaps in Nigeria's regulatory framework for fermented foods and proposed a comprehensive approach to bridge these gaps while preserving cultural heritage and supporting economic development. The multi-tiered framework offers a practical solution that balances food safety requirements with recognition of traditional knowledge and production constraints.

Future Research Directions

Key recommendations include harmonization of regulatory oversight, development of tiered compliance requirements, investment in testing infrastructure, and implementation of comprehensive capacity-building programs. These interventions could transform Nigeria's fermented food sector from an informal activity to a regulated industry capable of competing in domestic and international markets.

Future research priorities should include validation of traditional fermentation processes, development of rapid testing methods suitable for small-scale producers, and economic impact assessments of regulatory interventions. Long-term studies on the effectiveness of different regulatory approaches could inform policy refinements and support evidence-based decision-making.

The successful implementation of these recommendations could position Nigeria as a leader in fermented food regulation across Africa, creating opportunities for technology transfer and regional harmonization of standards. This leadership role could generate additional economic benefits through technical assistance exports and regional market integration.

Nigeria's rich tradition of fermented foods represents both a cultural treasure and an economic opportunity. With appropriate regulatory frameworks that balance safety, tradition, and economic development, this sector could contribute significantly to food security, rural development, and cultural preservation while meeting the evolving needs of modern consumers.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Obafemi YD, Oranusi SU, Ajanaku KO, Akinduti PA, Leech j, Cotter PD. African fermented foods: overview, emerging benefits, and novel approaches to microbiome profiling. *NPJ Sci Food*. 2022;6:15. doi:10.1038/s41538-022-00130-w.
- [2] Tamang JP, Cotter PD, Endo A, Han NS, Kort R, Liu SQ, Mayo B, Westerik N, Hutkins R. Fermented foods in a global age. *Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf*. 2020;19(1):184–217. doi:10.1111/1541-4337.12520.
- [3] Olaniran AF, Osemwegie OO, Taiwo EA, Okonkwo CE, Ojo OA, Abalaka M, Malomo AA, Iranloye YM, Akpor OB, Bamidele OP, Michael T. Application and acceptability of microbiomes in Nigerian indigenous foods. *Prev Nutr Food Sci*. 2023;28(2):108–120. doi:10.3746/pnf.2023.28.2.108.
- [4] Banwo K, Oyeyipo A, Mishra L, Sarkar D, Shetty K. Improving phenolic bioactive-linked functional qualities of traditional cereal-based fermented food (ogi) of Nigeria using compatible food synergies with underutilized edible plants. *NFS J*. 2022;27:1–12. doi:10.1016/j.nfs.2022.03.001.
- [5] Adebo OA, Medina-Meza IG. Impact of fermentation on phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity of whole cereal grains: a mini review. *Molecules*. 2020;25(4):927. doi:10.3390/molecules25040927.
- [6] Lavilla M. Advances and future challenges to microbial food safety. *Foods*. 2025;14(13):2335. doi:10.3390/foods14132335.
- [7] Vermelho AB, Cardoso VDS, Domingos LTS, Akamine IT, Amenu B, Osei BK, Neves Junior A. Advancements in microbial applications for sustainable food production. *Foods*. 2025;14(19):3427. doi:10.3390/foods14193427.
- [8] Graham AE, Ledesma-Amaro R. The microbial food revolution. *Nat Commun*. 2023;14:2231. doi:10.1038/s41467-023-37891-1.
- [9] Kandel GP, Bavorova M, Ullah A, Pradhan P. Food security and sustainability. *Int J Disaster Risk Reduct*. 2024;101:104279. doi:10.1016/j.ijdrr.2024.104279.
- [10] Balali GI, Yar DD, Afua Dela VG, Adjei-Kusi P. Microbial contamination, an increasing threat to the consumption of fresh fruits and vegetables in today's world. *Int J Microbiol*. 2020;2020:3029295. doi:10.1155/2020/3029295.
- [11] Ugoala E. Nigerian traditional and ethnic foods in the context of one health. *Food Humanity*. 2025;4:100466. doi:10.1016/j.foohum.2024.100466.
- [12] Adebisi JA, Kayitesi E, Adebo OA, Changwa R, Njobeh PB. Food fermentation and mycotoxin detoxification: an African perspective. *Food Control*. 2019;106:106731. doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2019.106731.
- [13] Adesulu-Dahunsi AT, Dahunsi SO, Olayanju A. Synergistic microbial interactions between lactic acid bacteria and yeasts during production of Nigerian indigenous fermented foods and beverages. *Food Control*. 2020;110:106963. doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2019.106963.
- [14] Obafemi YD, Ajayi AA, Adebayo HA, Oyewole OA, Olumuyiwa EO. The role of indigenous Nigerian fermented agrifoods in enhancing good health and well-being. *Discover Food*. 2024;4:133. doi:10.1007/s44187-024-00206-4.
- [15] Zeng X, Wang H, Zhongqi F, Li Q, Li H, Xi Y, Jiang W, Cao J, Liu B, Li J. Recent advances in technologies for ready-to-eat fruit and vegetable products. *Food Control*. 2025;178:111503. doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2025.111503.
- [16] Ali A, Tahir A, Ahmed N, Trafialek J, Alohal BM, Ahmed IAM, Manzoor MF, Madilo FK. Food safety in the catering sector: nonconformities, challenges, and strategic interventions with insights from South Asia and Africa. *Food Sci Nutr*. 2026;14(1):e71400. doi:10.1002/fsn3.71400.
- [17] Capozzi V, Fragasso M, Romaniello R, Berbegal C, Russo P, Spano G. Spontaneous food fermentations and potential risks for human health. *Fermentation*. 2017;3(4):49. doi:10.3390/fermentation3040049.

- [18] Kahraman Ilıkkan Ö, Bağdat EŞ, Yılmaz R, Çetin B, Çon AH, Erten H, Göksungur MY, Şimşek Ö. Bridging tradition and innovation: a systematic review and bibliometric analysis of Turkish fermented foods. *Foods*. 2025;14(24):4324. doi:10.3390/foods14244324.
- [19] European Commission. Traditional specialty guaranteed (TSG) products. 2024.
- [20] Nascimento JCN, Vilas-Boas DM, Leite CC, Martinez VO, Matos JR, Roselino MN, Schmidt CA, Lemos-Junior WJF, Sant'Ana AS, Guimarães AG. Ready to eat, ready to risk? A retrospective evaluation of microbiological contamination and surveillance gaps in self-service meals in Bahia, Brazil. *Food Control*. 2026;182:111817. doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2025.111817.
- [21] Eastham JL, Leman AR. Precision fermentation for food proteins: ingredient innovations, bioprocess considerations, and outlook—a mini-review. *Curr Opin Food Sci*. 2024;58:101194. doi:10.1016/j.cofs.2024.101194.
- [22] Adesemoye ET, Sanni AI, Spano G, Capozzi V, Fragasso M. Lactic acid bacteria diversity in fermented foods as potential bio-resources contributing to alleviate malnutrition in developing countries: Nigeria as a case study. *Fermentation*. 2025;11(2):103. doi:10.3390/fermentation11020103.
- [23] Palladino F, Marcelino PRF, Schlogl AE, José AHM, Rodrigues RCLB, Fabrino DL, Santos, IJB, Rosa CA. Bioreactors: applications and innovations for a sustainable and healthy future—a critical review. *Appl Sci*. 2024;14(20):9346. doi:10.3390/app14209346.
- [24] Murugesan M, Pandurangan P, Murugesan A, Senthilkumar H, Hegde KK, Thangaraj S, Moovendhan M. Artificial intelligence in bread making: applications in quality control, formulation and sensory prediction. *Food Chem X*. 2026;34:103654. doi:10.1016/j.fochx.2026.103654.
- [25] Yusuf AU, Haruna SG, Sanda NB, Adamu SH. Exploring aflatoxin contamination in Nigerian vegetables: a comprehensive review. *Int J Res Sci Innov*. 2025;12(9). doi:10.51244/IJRSL.2025.120800364.
- [26] Izah SC, Kigigha LT, Peace I. Microbial quality assessment of fermented maize ogi and options for overcoming constraints in production. *Biotechnol Res*. 2016;2(2):81–93.